

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/343,958	06/30/1999	SERGE JEAN MAURICE MISTER	0500.9904131	8512
23418	7590 03/12/2004		EXAM	INER
VEDDER PRICE KAUFMAN & KAMMHOLZ			ZAND, KAMBIZ	
CHICAGO,	ALLE STREET IL 60601		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2132	. 9
			DATE MAILED: 03/12/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7

•		/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/343,958	MISTER, SERGE JEAN MAURICE
, and y reason	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kambiz Zand	2132
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 04 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a high places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offi	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin SILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (CFR 1.704(b). s Brief must be filed within the pe	eriod set forth in
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI 2. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b		of the appeal.
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require furth		see NOTF helow)
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by		500 NO 12 500W),
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i		erially reducing or simplifying the
issues for appeal; and/or		
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to: 6,14,21,28 and 35.		
Claim(s) rejected: 1-5,7-13,15-20,22-27,29-34,36 an	<u>d 37</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other:	6lbert	ts 3
	GILBERTO	,
	SUPERVISORY PAT TECHNOLOGY (tent examiner

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The added features "to prevent interception of the incoming data", in claims 1, 16 and 30 raise new issue that would be require further consideration and/or search.